Terms of Reference of the Committee appointed to go into the Fee Structure of Universities in the State.

*Q.—119. Sri S. M. KRISHNA (Maddur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) what are the terms of reference of the Committee recently appointed to go into the fee structure of Universities in the State ;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that the old Mysore is not represented in the Committee ;

(*c*) if so, whether they intend appointing some one to represent old Mysore ?

*A.—Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—

(*a*) (1) To examine the fee structure and recommend uniform scales of tuition fees and fee concessions for the colleges in the two University areas.

(2) To examine the scales of salaries of the tutorial staff in colleges and recommend changes, if any.

(3) To examine the necessity for uniformity of courses between the Universities to facilitate migration of student from one University to the other and recommend suitable measures, if required, to achieve such uniformity.

(4) To recommend measures for the improvement of educational standards and student discipline ; and

(5) Any other matter that may be referred to the Committee by Government.

(*b*) The Composition of the Committee is not based on regional representation.

(*c*) Does not arise in view of reply to clause (*b*).

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Has this Committee started its functions ?

† Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Yes, on the 25th of last month they met.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Where is this Committee sitting for collecting evidence ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—They met on the 25th at Hyderabad and again they are meeting on the 8th of this month in Madras. I am told that the Committee desire that as many people as want to give evidence may go over there. When I brought this to the notice of the Secretary and said that evidence should be taken also at Bangalore, they have agreed.

Sri S. M. KRISHNA.—Is it not desirable that the Committee should deliberate and take evidence in Bangalore or Mysore or Dharwar ?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—Of course, it is desirable that they should sit in Bangalore, Mysore and Dharwar. It is not incumbent on the Committee to sit only here. The meeting that took place on the 25th of last month was only a preliminary meeting and the meeting to be held on the 8th also will be a preliminary meeting.

1-30 P.M.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಹಿಂದೆ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಮುಷ್ಕರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ, ಈ ಸಮಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಒಬ್ಬ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿ ಇರಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ಒಂದು ಕೌಡಿಷನ್ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದರು. ಆ ರೀತಿ ವಿದ್ಯಾರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಾನ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಇಲ್ಲ. ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ, ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಲ್ಲ.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Is it not a fact that a similar Committee under the Chairmanship of Dr. Laxshmanaswamy Mudaliar was constituted with the Vice-Chancellor of the Mysore University and the Vice-Chancellor of the Karnatak University as Members in 1957-58 under some terms of reference? What happened to the report of that Committee?

Sri S. R. KANTHI.—There was such a Committee under Dr. Lakshmanaswamy Mudaliar but the Terms of Refernce were not issued then.

Sri K. S. SURYANARAYANA RAO.—Is there any proposal to be referred to the Committee in regard the making recommendations as to the inter-change of professors and tutors between the two Universities?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಕಂಠಿ.—ಸದ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಏನು ಪಾಯಿಂಟುಗಳನ್ನು ನಾವು ರೆಫರ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ಅವು ಇವೆ. ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನದು ಸದ್ಯಕ್ಕೆ ಏನೂ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಸರಕಾರದವರು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question hour is over.

QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Applications received for Admission in the Polytechnic at Krishnarajpet in 1963.

*Q.—48. Sri N. NANJE GOWDA (Krishnarajpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of applications received for admissions in the Polytechnic, Krishnarajpet in 1963;

(*b*) out of them the number of applicants admitted;

(*c*) the sanctioned strength of the above Polytechnic?

*A.—Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—

(*a*) 536.

(*b*) 132.

(*c*) 120.

In addition 10 per cent increase in strength has been allowed for 1963.